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M-PESA (“M” for mobile and “PESA” for money in Swahili) is a mobile money service promoted by Safaricom, the leading mobile operator in Kenya. The service provides a method of electronic payment accessible through mobile phones. Once customers deposit cash in their M-PESA accounts, they store the value as “e-float” – a form of electronic value issued by Safaricom – until they are ready to use it for transfers, buying airtime, or bill payments.

“De-materialising” cash into e-float offers benefits in terms of safety (reduced risk of theft or loss), convenience (less bulk, easier to send money remotely, lower transport costs, can purchase airtime and pay bills from the phone), and privacy. The core value proposition to customers is that M-PESA allows them to send money quickly and cheaply to distant business associates, friends, or relatives, a common need in Kenya where many families have some members working in urban areas.2

By solving this customer need, M-PESA has generated a large and loyal customer base. M-PESA is used by over 40% of Kenyan adults3 and more than 95% of users report that M-PESA is faster, safer, cheaper, or more convenient than alternative services like those provided by banks, ATMs, the post office, or money transfer services offered through bus companies.4 A full 84% of users claim that losing the service of M-PESA would have a large, negative effect on their lives.

The ability to quickly and conveniently withdraw cash or deposit cash is critical to achieving the high level of value that M-PESA delivers to its users. To access their accounts, customers exchange cash for e-float at a network of M-PESA retail stores (often referred to as sub-agents or agent points). There are some 16,000 agent points in Kenya, putting one within reach of most Kenyans. In fact many locations have multiple M-PESA agent points within a few hundred meters of each other. Keeping these agent points stocked with cash and e-float so that they can meet customers’ needs for deposits and withdrawals is a major challenge, and the subject of this article.

How M-PESA mobile money works
To access the M-PESA service, customers must first register at an authorised M-PESA retail outlet. They are then assigned an individual electronic money account, or e-wallet, that is linked to their phone number and accessible through a SIM card-resident application on the mobile phone.5 There is three-factor authentication of customers: through their mobile number (i.e. ownership of the SIM card inside the mobile phone), a user-selected personal identification number (PIN), and through their national ID card presented to the store teller at the time of the transaction.

M-PESA wallets are denominated in e-float backed 100% by liquid deposits held by Safaricom in fully regulated commercial banks – initially only the Commercial Bank of Africa (CBA), and now also Standard Chartered Bank (SCB). The interest from these balances accrues to a charitable foundation, and is not distributed to either Safaricom or M-PESA customers. All transactions are authorised and recorded in real time using secure SMS, and are capped at the equivalent of US$500.

Once transactions are confirmed, the account balances of sender and receiver are updated immediately to reflect the transfer, and the transferred funds are immediately available for use by the receiver. Both sender and receiver are sent an automated notification by the M-PESA server via text message confirming the transaction and stating their new account balances.

e-float is exchangeable for cash at designated M-PESA retail outlets. This is performed by pairing the handover of cash with an equal but opposite transfer of e-float between the M-PESA customer and
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the retailer. A deposit or cash in transaction entails a real-time transfer of e-float from the retailer to the customer in exchange for cash given to the retailer, while a withdrawal or cash out transaction requires that the customer transfer e-float to the retailer and receive cash in exchange. All e-float transfers – both cash in/out transactions and person to person (P2P) transfers between clients – are subject to availability of funds in the sender’s account.

Liquidity in the M-PESA network

Given their higher frequency of transactions, retail outlets are given special e-wallets (or tills) with higher maximum account balances. This gives them more room for offsetting clients’ cash-in transactions (which cause them to pay out e-float) and cash-out transactions (which cause them to accumulate e-float). Still, if the outlet performs too many cash-in transactions it will eventually run out of e-float, and if it performs too many cash out transactions it will run out of cash. In either case, the retailer will need to rebalance its liquidity: convert the excess e-float into cash, or vice versa. For that, they must go to the next rung up the cash distribution hierarchy.

Safaricom only buys and sells e-float from a select range of distributors (agents) and banks (super-agents) with which it has signed an agency agreement. To buy (sell) e-float these agents must deposit (collect) the appropriate amount of money in (from) Safaricom’s account at either of its custodian banks (CBA or SCB). Because of how the M-PESA system is set up and how interbank payments work in Kenya, it can take one or two days for such transactions to settle. Thus, the agent needs to have a sufficient balance of e-float to accommodate the potential liquidity needs of their stores for up to two days. This imposes a high working capital requirement cost on agents.

Agents in turn buy and sell e-float from the retail outlets (sub-agents) that depend from them. As with customers, a cash transaction between agent and sub-agent will be matched by an offsetting e-float transaction, with the agent taking the opposite side of whatever the store requires for its liquidity management purposes. The transfer of cash between the retailer and the agent may happen by the retailer visiting the agent’s premises, or by the paying party depositing and withdrawing cash at the nearest bank branch where they both hold bank accounts. For the store, each rebalancing is likely to represent a trip, to either its agent head office or, more often, to the nearest bank branch. Additionally, if the transaction is done through a bank, the agent head office may also have to send an employee to the bank to deposit cash into the sub-agent’s account, implying an extra cost to them.

Both retail outlets and agents are rewarded for their role in providing liquidity in the M-PESA system by Safaricom. They receive transaction commissions, so their income is directly proportional to the number of transactions they support. The average commission paid by Safaricom per cash in/out transaction is US 17¢ (pre-tax), of which the distributor will typically keep 20-30% and pass on the rest to the retail outlet. In many cases the M-PESA business also brings indirect benefits to retail outlets beyond the commissions earned on M-PESA itself, in the form of increased foot traffic into the store and a reputational ‘bump’ from the store’s association with the powerful Safaricom brand.

The central importance of proper liquidity management for agent success

For poor people who operate in a cash economy, and whose income comes in the form of small lumps of cash, being able to cash in and cash out easily is a precondition for participation in a system such as M-PESA. The M-PESA retail outlets are therefore the bridges between the entrenched cash-based exchange system and the new electronic payments cloud. This network of bridges needs to be sufficiently dense geographically to offer the necessary convenience to all customers, and sufficiently resilient to meet whatever cash or e-float needs customers may have at any time. Proper liquidity management of the retail network goes to the heart of the usefulness and the trustworthiness of the M-PESA proposition. For the retailers, keeping customers supplied with e-float and cash is central to their business. In Box 1, we describe the activities of a typical M-PESA store owner, Gaudencia, in her daily rounds to keep her stores supplied with liquidity.
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Box 1: Gaudencia – Professional Cash Transporter

Gaudencia is a 45-year-old widower and mother of 3 children who owns three M-PESA stores near Kisumu in western Kenya. She is semi-literate (having achieved primary standard 4) speaks no English, and previous to getting into the M-PESA agent business, sold chickens in the Kisumu market.

One of her stores – Jubilee Market – is located in the Kisumu produce market. Her Ahero and Pipeline stores are located outside Kisumu, each at a distance of about 30 minutes by bus. The Jubilee and Ahero stores are staffed by her daughter and son, respectively. Gaudencia is constantly on the move, shuttling back and forth between her stores and the headquarters of PEP (the M-PESA distributor who manages her) to move cash and e-float where it’s needed most. Like most agents, she understands that customer service – being able to provide cash or e-float when needed – is key to a business where there is likely to be another M-PESA agent literally in the adjacent shop (see Figure 2 which shows some stores are less than 5 meters apart, this is quite typical in Kenya). The fact that her son and daughter staff two of her three stores makes it easier for her to absent herself. Gaudencia’s typical daily rounds are represented by the following diagram where the amounts are Kenyan Shillings and represent the one-way bus fare associated with each leg of the trip.

In the morning, Gaudencia first travels from home to pick up cash at PEP (1), then walks from PEP to Jubilee Market and back (2), then back and forth to both Ahero (3) and Pipeline (4). She is usually finished with the full circuit by 2pm at which point she returns to Ahero for a second trip. On Tuesday, which is Ahero’s market day, she starts with Ahero in the morning, and makes 3 full trips, in addition to the regular circuit, by the time the day is done. In the course of a day, Gaudencia can spend 300-375Ksh (US$4-$5) on bus fare (this would be a typical daily wage in Kisumu) and reports that the cost of travel in time and money are the most aggravating aspect of her day. On one leg of each visit she will be carrying cash to or from the store, and often the value of cash she carries exceeds 75,000Ksh (US$1,000). Despite the relatively large sums she carries, she has never been robbed and does not report feeling like security is a major risk. For her troubles, Gaudencia sometimes nets over 75,000Ksh (US$1,000) per month in transactional revenue from her 3 stores.
Agent costs and risks in liquidity management

For stores, managing liquidity is the central aspect of their business. The following are a list of the main obstacles for stores (reported by PEP staff) in keeping enough cash and e-float on hand to satisfy customers:

Employee malfeasance: when rebalancing, store owners must almost always leave large amount of cash in the hands of employees, either by leaving the employee at the shop with the cash till, or by sending the employee to carry cash to or from PEP or the bank branch. Stores report high employee turnover which exacerbates the challenge of trusting employees with cash.

Physical security: carrying and storing cash on their premises exposes owners and their employees to the risk of being robbed. In the PEP network of 106 stores, there were 10 robberies last year.

Working capital: shop owners must invest anywhere from US$2000-$4,000 in e-float and cash. (PEP requires a minimum of US$2000 whereas the Safaricom minimum is closer to US$600). This is significant sum to generate for a Kenyan small business owner.

Travel costs and time: as the story of Gaudencia (Box 1) illustrates, costs of transporting cash can be upwards of US$4-5 dollars per day for a shop owner with multiple shops. Time is also a major factor, with some stores reporting 2 hours or more of round trip travel time.

Our sample of M-PESA retail outlets

This paper explores the liquidity needs of M-PESA outlets. We do so with the benefit of actual transactional data over a six-month period from a sample of 20 retail outlets managed by PEP Intermedius, an M-PESA agent operating in Western Kenya. This section describes the sample; in the next section we derive seven observations from the transactional data from these outlets which capture the essence of the agent business in Western Kenya. In the final section we derive three broad conclusions which follow from our analysis. These are the key factors that should be taken into account to ensure the sustainability of agent networks like M-PESA’s.

About PEP Intermedius

PEP Intermedius is a private company owned partially by its two founders (one of whom, Frederik Eijkman, is a co-author of this paper). Its primary business is as a M-PESA agent, and it has a side-business in microcredit. PEP was founded in 2004 to do microfinance. However, having observed that the primary need of the local population was convenient and affordable cash availability, PEP began operating as an agent of M-PESA in 2007 when the service was launched. PEP has its headquarters office in Kisumu on Lake Victoria, and has 16 employees.

In its M-PESA business, PEP manages a total of 106 retail outlets, of which 8 are fully owned by PEP and the remainder are franchised (these are third party stores that conduct their M-PESA business through PEP as their agent).

Originally PEP opened their own stores targeting strategic locations. However they soon found that they could not keep up with the spread of other agent points due to the costs of finding, building, and staffing their own stores. This prompted the move to a franchise approach where they would accommodate store owners with the necessary capital allowing them to start on their own. The franchise model gave PEP an opportunity to expand rapidly and reduce the risks associated with store ownership and cash transit.

Introducing our sample of 20 stores

We started by defining four archetypal types of stores, based on their location and the kinds of clientele they attract:

- **City:** These are stores in the central business district of the provincial capital, Kisumu. The customers are typically white collar employees who work in the city center, as well as business people and out of town visitors who are in Kisumu for business, to make purchases, or to deal with government offices.

- **Urban:** These are stores located in or around two main markets, of which one near the main bus terminal and in Kisumu. There are many M-PESA agents within 100 meters of each other. Typical customers include local shop owners, travelers who are coming and going by bus, and wholesale traders who are in the market to buy or sell vegetables, fruits, and other goods for sales elsewhere.
District: These are stores in provincial market towns located on the main highways connecting Kisumu with Nairobi and other major towns in the region. These towns are not very populous but their markets get very busy with many traders and visitors coming from nearby rural villages to make purchases and conduct business.

Rural: These are stores in small towns with a population of around 5000. They are often visited by rural customers from surrounding areas that do not have the money to travel to larger towns. These towns typically have only a few permanent structures housing mainly shops selling the most basic commodities and workshops for local artisans (carpenters, etc).

Figure 1 shows the location of the towns with stores in our sample within Western Kenya (marked with the PEP logo). Figure 2 lists the twenty stores in our sample, and offers some descriptive characteristics for each. M-PESA constitutes the main business for all the stores. Most have been offering M-PESA for at least two years. All but two stores have a competitor within 100 meters.

All the ten stores in Kisumu (those in the urban and city categories) rebalance their liquidity by going into the PEP headquarters in downtown Kisumu. The most distant one is 2 kilometers away, but the majority is within 10 to 15 minutes of PEP headquarters. Half the stores outside of Kisumu are in towns with a bank branch and are able to rebalance their liquidity locally. However, four stores are 20-60 kilometers away from the nearest branch. For these distant stores, cash management is especially difficult. Shop owners have to leave the management of the store in the hands of an employee, or send an employee to rebalance. In either case, the manager will be faced with leaving the employee alone with cash representing a large multiple of their monthly salary. Additionally, round trip travel time can be an hour or more at a round trip cost of US$2-3.

For each store in the sample, we collected daily M-PESA transaction data for the period of July 2009 to December 2009. Figure 2 shows some summary trading statistics for each store. The first five numerical columns relate to M-PESA transactions undertaken by clients: the average daily value of transactions, the average daily number of deposits (cash-in) and withdrawals (cash-out), and the average deposit and withdrawal transaction sizes. The last column is the average number of liquidity rebalancing transactions each store conducted daily with PEP as their M-PESA agent.

Figure 1: Locations of PEP stores in our sample, around Kisumu near Lake Victoria in Western Kenya
**Figure 2: A snapshot of the 20 stores in the sample**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Store</th>
<th>Name of town &amp; population</th>
<th>Type of location &amp; ownership</th>
<th>Distance to nearest M-PESA shop</th>
<th>Distance to bank or PEP HQ</th>
<th>Main/side business of Store</th>
<th>Store Location</th>
<th>Time as agent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ahero</td>
<td>Ahero</td>
<td>District, franchise</td>
<td>5m</td>
<td>60min / 25km</td>
<td>M-PESA</td>
<td>Kiosk</td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Joint</td>
<td>Kisumu</td>
<td>City, franchise</td>
<td>10m</td>
<td>200m to PEP</td>
<td>M-PESA</td>
<td>Kiosk</td>
<td>2.5 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyber Centre</td>
<td>Kisumu</td>
<td>Urban, franchise</td>
<td>50m</td>
<td>15min to PEP</td>
<td>M-PESA Beauty Prods.</td>
<td>Kiosk</td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flamagras</td>
<td>Kisumu</td>
<td>City, franchise</td>
<td>40m</td>
<td>400m to PEP</td>
<td>M-PESA Hair salon</td>
<td>Store</td>
<td>2.5 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homa Bay</td>
<td>Homa Bay</td>
<td>District, owned</td>
<td>6m</td>
<td>5min to Bank</td>
<td>M-PESA</td>
<td>Kiosk</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jubilee</td>
<td>Kisumu</td>
<td>Urban, franchise</td>
<td>50m</td>
<td>15min to PEP</td>
<td>M-PESA</td>
<td>Kiosk</td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katito</td>
<td>Katito</td>
<td>Rural, franchise</td>
<td>100m</td>
<td>60min to PEP</td>
<td>M-PESA Soda’s</td>
<td>Store</td>
<td>1 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kibuye</td>
<td>Kisumu</td>
<td>Urban, franchise</td>
<td>50m</td>
<td>15min to PEP</td>
<td>M-PESA</td>
<td>Kiosk</td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Market</td>
<td>Kisumu</td>
<td>City, franchise</td>
<td>10m</td>
<td>150m to PEP</td>
<td>M-PESA Beauty products</td>
<td>Kiosk</td>
<td>2.5 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luanda</td>
<td>Luanda</td>
<td>District, owned</td>
<td>5m</td>
<td>5min to Bank</td>
<td>M-PESA</td>
<td>Store</td>
<td>2.5 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noble</td>
<td>Kisumu</td>
<td>City, franchise</td>
<td>50m</td>
<td>200m to PEP</td>
<td>M-PESA Photo copying</td>
<td>Store</td>
<td>2.5 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyagande</td>
<td>Nyagande</td>
<td>Rural, franchise</td>
<td>300m</td>
<td>90min / 30km</td>
<td>M-PESA</td>
<td>Kiosk</td>
<td>1 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Stop</td>
<td>Kisumu</td>
<td>Urban, franchise</td>
<td>50m</td>
<td>15min to PEP</td>
<td>M-PESA Photo copying</td>
<td>Kiosk</td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paw Akuche</td>
<td>Holo</td>
<td>Rural, owned</td>
<td>100m</td>
<td>45min / 20km</td>
<td>M-PESA</td>
<td>Store</td>
<td>2.5 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEP HQ</td>
<td>Kisumu</td>
<td>City, owned</td>
<td>0 to PEP</td>
<td>M-PESA Micro lending</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serem</td>
<td>Serem</td>
<td>Rural, franchise</td>
<td>50m</td>
<td>5min to Bank</td>
<td>M-PESA</td>
<td>Store</td>
<td>1 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shop 786</td>
<td>Kisumu</td>
<td>Urban, franchise</td>
<td>50m</td>
<td>15min to PEP</td>
<td>M-PESA</td>
<td>Store</td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siaya</td>
<td>Siaya</td>
<td>District, owned</td>
<td>300m</td>
<td>5 min to Bank</td>
<td>M-PESA</td>
<td>Store</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usenge</td>
<td>Usenge</td>
<td>Rural, franchise</td>
<td>100m</td>
<td>2hrs / 60km</td>
<td>M-PESA</td>
<td>Kiosk</td>
<td>1 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vihiga</td>
<td>Mbale</td>
<td>District, owned</td>
<td>20m</td>
<td>5min to Bank</td>
<td>M-PESA</td>
<td>Store</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Figure 3: Summary tradings statistics for the 20 stores in our sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Store Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Average Daily Volume</th>
<th>Average Deposits #/day</th>
<th>Average Deposits Tx. Size</th>
<th>Average Withdrawals #/day</th>
<th>Average Withdrawals Tx. Size</th>
<th>PEP Transactions Ave. #/day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash Joint</td>
<td>city</td>
<td>$7,210</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>$54</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>$40</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flamagras</td>
<td>city</td>
<td>$3,003</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>$52</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>$42</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Market</td>
<td>city</td>
<td>$14,532</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>$78</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>$55</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noble</td>
<td>city</td>
<td>$2,105</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$41</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>$41</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PepHQ</td>
<td>city</td>
<td>$3,136</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>$86</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$77</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahero</td>
<td>district</td>
<td>$5,001</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>$36</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>$37</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homa Bay</td>
<td>district</td>
<td>$4,316</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>$58</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>$64</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luanda</td>
<td>district</td>
<td>$3,023</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$13</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>$29</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siaya</td>
<td>district</td>
<td>$3,148</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$41</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>$53</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vihiga</td>
<td>district</td>
<td>$3,311</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$36</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaito</td>
<td>rural</td>
<td>$2,313</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>$27</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>$17</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyagande</td>
<td>rural</td>
<td>$853</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$18</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>$19</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paw Akuche</td>
<td>rural</td>
<td>$2,390</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$22</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>$33</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serem</td>
<td>rural</td>
<td>$3,250</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$41</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>$34</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usenge</td>
<td>rural</td>
<td>$1,314</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$37</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$57</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyber Centre</td>
<td>urban</td>
<td>$6,594</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>$38</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>$38</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jubilee Market</td>
<td>urban</td>
<td>$2,239</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>$31</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>$26</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kibuye</td>
<td>urban</td>
<td>$2,667</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>$28</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>$31</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OneStop</td>
<td>urban</td>
<td>$2,154</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>$44</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>$42</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chop 786</td>
<td>urban</td>
<td>$3,203</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>$34</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>$33</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Volumes in $ (75Ksh = $1). These averages were calculated excluding Sundays when most stores are closed.*
Stylised observations from the store trading data

The analysis of daily transaction data for the stores in our sample revealed seven key patterns and insights.

1. Agent liquidity management is costly: stores need to rebalance their liquidity holdings daily

Figure 4: Number of transactions with PEP per store per trading day, by type of store

[Graph showing transaction frequency by store type]

Figure 5: Frequency with which stores transact with PEP per trading day, by type of store

[Graph showing transaction frequency by store type]

Most stores make at least one daily journey to rebalance the M-PESA agent’s holding of cash and float. Figure 4 shows the frequency with which outlets must rebalance their cash holdings by buying or selling e-float from PEP. Stores in rural markets do so on average daily, stores in district and urban markets do so on average 1.5 times per day, and stores in the city centre do so on average 2.5 times a day. Looking at individual stores, there is a lot of variance, especially for city centre stores as one of them had to rebalance as much as four times per day on average.

Figure 5 looks at the store rebalancing frequency in more detail. On average, all types of stores avoid having to rebalance their liquidity on around 40% of days (these include many weekend days when stores are not open or face slower demand). Of the remaining 60% of days, stores in rural markets are twice more likely to have to rebalance only once in the day, whereas for the other types of store they are more likely to have to rebalance more than once in the day. District stores are the ones which most frequently need to rebalance twice a day or more, owing partly to the larger transaction sizes.

For rural stores, where remittances drive a predictable need for cash every day, store owners or employees often make a trip in the morning to exchange the e-float built up the previous day with cash for the coming day. For rural and district stores at a great distance to PEP or a bank branch, this often implies an hour or two of travel time each way and so they often arrive at 10 or 11 am to get cash at PEP and return to the village. For these distant stores, multiple trips per day are prohibitively time consuming so they do their best to make just one per day. In the city and urban areas, most stores are a 5-10 minute walk from PEP HQ and so can make frequent trips throughout the day. This is fortunate, because the city and urban center stores also face more uncertain cash needs, sometimes needing to sell e-float for cash, and sometimes needing to buy more e-float. In these stores, just a few large transactions, e.g. by merchants paying their suppliers, can tip the balance one way or the other triggering a trip to PEP. Box 2 describes three actual trips to get cash and the costs and difficulties associated with each.

7 PEP HQ is open and available for transactions 7 days a week.
Box 2: Three trips to rebalance cash and e-float

The following are three examples of stores’ daily cash or e-float buying trips, representing a typical level of cost, risk, and difficulty for the store owners.

**Paw Akuche** is a PEP-owned store located in a rural village about 40 minutes by bus from Kisumu and PEP headquarters. Round trip bus fare is 200Ksh. Lilian, one of the store’s two employees, lives in Kisumu and so can stop by PEP on her way to work (PEP is nice enough to pay her bus fare because this is a PEP-owned store). On Tuesdays (which are market days in the village) and on other heavy transaction days, Lilian must make an additional trip into Kisumu to get more cash, leaving Gladys the store manager to deal with the customers by herself. Store owners often look for creative arrangements to move cash, such as having a store employee get it on the way to work, but these arrangements often depend on the employee staying with the store and require that owners find someone they can trust.

**Cyber Center** is no more than a 10 minute walk or 2 minute scooter ride to the PEP center (scooter rides cost 10Ksh or 13¢). This allows Cyber Center to rebalance an average of 2.8 times per working day (see Figure 3), one of the more frequent in our sample. The only time when a trip to PEP is inconvenient is when the owner, Betty, is away in which case if they need e-float they can phone in a request to PEP and pay cash at the end of the day (here PEP is essentially loaning them working capital for the day at zero interest). If they need cash, they would be forced to close the store for 15 minutes and surely lose a few customers while they were away.

**Luanda** is a District store, located an hour or so from Kisumu by bus but only 5 minutes away from a local CBK branch. Despite the convention location, sending or receiving cash through a bank branch is more difficult for an agent and can require one of the PEP HQ staff to make a simultaneous trip to the bank on the other end where there may be lines and other delays of up to 3-4 hours while the cash is moved between the agent’s account and PEP’s. As most M-PESA agents are open till 7pm or later, a surge of customers late in the day can leave them stranded for cash if the bank branch has already closed. This has happened to Luanda on a few occasions.

2. Rural areas do fewer and smaller transactions; in the city center transactions are much larger

![Figure 6: Average number of client transactions per store per trading day](image)

![Figure 7: Average client transaction size, in Ksh](image)

Figure 6 shows the average number of client transactions stores do in a typical day. While a typical rural market store does just over 50 transactions per day, stores in Kisumu (urban and city) do twice as many. District stores located in busy road-side markets transact volumes that are closer to the urban markets. There is also a much wider range of transactions by store in Kisumu which reflects the greater variety of customer needs. In rural areas, most customers are villagers receiving similarly sized remittances from city relatives, whereas in the city center stores, the transactions of contractors, small businesses, merchants, and traders are mixed in with remittance transactions.
At a commission of roughly 10¢ per transaction accruing after tax to the store, this volume of business translates into daily revenue to the store of US$5 for rural stores doing 50 transactions daily and US$10 for stores doing 100 transactions. At the very upper end of the range, Lake Market store in the city center averaged over US$30 a day on its best month (see Box 3 for more on Lake Market).

Figure 7 shows the average M-PESA transaction size in Kenyan shilling that stores undertake on behalf of their customers. Predictably, stores in rural markets tend to do much smaller transactions, averaging 2000Ksh (US$27). Typical transactions at city centre stores are more than double this amount, reflecting the fact that many merchants and traders use M-PESA to pay suppliers or contractors and receive payment for large volumes of goods. Transaction sizes are larger in district towns than in urban stores largely due to the fact that markets in towns are weekly rather than daily implying that customers are making bulk purchases to satisfy their needs for the week. In urban areas, customers tend to be richer but are usually transacting to satisfy daily shopping needs rather than weekly. Cash in and cash out transactions tend to be of very similar sizes on average, except for city centre stores where cash in transactions are typically 30% larger in size than cash out transactions. One factor driving these larger cash out transactions is the large payments made by merchants to suppliers and workers.

3. Reflecting domestic remittance patterns, rural areas are strongly cash out, whereas urban areas tend to be more cash in.

Figure 8 shows the average daily value of client transactions at different types of stores. Stores in rural markets trade on average 172,000Ksh (US$2,300) per day, of which 90% is cash out. District market stores typically trade twice as much, but still have a preponderance of cash out. Stores in urban markets are roughly balanced between cash in and cash out, while stores in Kisumu city centre trade much larger volumes (360,000Ksh or US$13,000) and are predominantly used for cash in. This shows that a fundamental trend in M-PESA usage is to transfer balances from city to rural environments.

Figure 9 looks at the implications of this spatial differentiation between stores in terms of their liquidity rebalancing requirements. Most stores do need to rebalance daily, ranging from 76% of days for city centre stores to 62% for rural stores). Stores in rural markets need to sell e-float from PEP (withdraw cash), while stores in the city centre need to buy e-float from PEP (deposit cash) much more often.
Figure 10 looks more closely at the trading patterns of urban stores. The daily net cash in/out from customers’ transactions is essentially zero, and this matches the daily net rebalancing transactions with PEP. However, this balance masks intra-day variations in the types of customer transactions undertaken. Figure 11 shows that for urban and city-centre stores, they needed to rebalance their liquidity at least twice, once buying and once selling e-float to PEP on almost 20% of days. Partly, urban and city stores have the luxury of more frequent rebalancing, given their proximity to PEP, but they are also less able to predict net cash needs given the greater variance in transaction sizes and more even mix of cash in with cash out. By contrast rural and district stores almost always need to fund excess cash withdrawals and thus have a predictable need for cash.

4. Rural and District stores hold more float at the end of the day

Figure 12 shows the e-float remaining at the end of the day, as a percentage of the stores’ average daily transaction volume, which is over 70% for rural stores. The rural stores are essentially cash salesmen who sell cash throughout the day to build up a stock of e-float, which they then trade in again for cash the next morning (see also Figure 8). In contrast, the urban and city area stores are traders, buying and selling e-float and cash in more equal measure and rebalancing more frequently in the middle of the day (implying that the ratio of float to transaction volume should be lower as they turn over their float more often.) Additionally, because some rural and district stores find it more difficult and costly to get cash, they can invest more in working capital as a percent of transaction volume (the combination of cash and e-float) and thus may have more e-float on hand at any given time.

Figure 13 shows that rural and district stores hold more e-float in absolute value at the end of the day as well (though because we don’t know how much end of day cash they had, their end of day e-float balance does not necessarily reflect their working capital invested.)

In the city center there are more wage earners sending money home, thus the greater number of cash in transactions. Additionally, many small businesses, traders, and contractors use M-PESA to pay employees, suppliers, and each other which drives larger transactions - both cash in and cash out.

Conversations with PEP staff revealed that district stores would also have had a higher average end-of-day balance relative to transaction volume, nearer to the 70% that rural stores have, except that many of the district stores in our sample are PEP-owned and therefore transfer their e-float balance to PEP at the end of the day so that their merchant account is basically empty when employees go home.
5. Market days drive substantial transaction volumes

Figure 14: Average daily client transaction volume by day of week for rural stores, as percent of weekly average

Figure 15: Average daily client transaction volume by day of week for urban stores, as percent of weekly average

Figures 14 and 15 show the deviation in daily client transaction volumes by day of week (relative to the daily average over the entire six month period) for stores in rural and urban markets, respectively. Sunday is a weak trading day, especially in rural towns, and many store owners are not even open. Rural towns typically have weekly markets, and so trading tends to be more concentrated on particular days of the week (Mondays and Tuesdays in Usenge, Tuesdays in Paw Akuche, Thursdays in Nyagande and Katito, Wednesdays and Saturdays in Serem). Urban markets are open daily (except Sundays) and hence trading is much more equally spaced across the week.

6. There are important monthly variations

Figure 16: Intra-month variation in client transactions
(percent daily variation in the median store trading volume in KSh by day of month, excluding Sundays and Christmas when most stores are closed)\textsuperscript{10}

Figure 17: Variation in client transactions by month
(monthly trading volume in KSh across all stores, as percent of period-wide average trading volume)

\textsuperscript{10} Each “day” on this graph reports the median value of the stores’ percent deviation from their monthly averages for that day for the combined 6 months of data.
Figure 16 shows the deviation in daily client transaction volumes by the day of the month (again, relative to the daily average over the entire six month period). There is a clear peak during the first week of the month, when salaries are typically paid. The variation from peak to trough can be as much as 40 percentage points driving a wide variation in cash needs and store profits over the course of the month.

Figure 17 shows the evolution of the daily trading volume by month over a six month sample period. Monthly oscillations are driven primarily by seasonal variations in the local business cycle which are particularly large for rural stores. Customers appear to undertake more withdrawals in December around the Christmas holiday, more than compensating for a decline in transaction volumes in November. Many Kenyans from other parts of the country travel back to their family homes in and around Kisumu for the holidays. In December they will often transfer cash to relatives or to themselves before they leave so that they can withdraw it when they arrive in Kisumu. Much of the dip in November appears to be related to thrift in advance of the Christmas holidays and the fact that many relatives who would send cash save it to bring in person in December.

7. There is substantial business volatility week-on-week, especially in non-urban environments

Figure 18 shows the volatility in weekly client transaction volumes. It shows that the business is most volatile in rural and district markets and least in the city centre. In rural and district stores, the number of transactions per week (the best indicator of weekly store revenues) regularly varies by up to about 20% from the average. For store owners, this represents significant variation in their earnings.

8. The importance of customer service and service continuity

Figure 19 shows daily transaction volumes for a particular urban market shop, Shop 786. In October 2009, the store was suspended by PEP from doing any transactions for one week because of some minor violations in their practices (they were not following proper procedures in checking customer IDs). The trading volumes show that, even after it was allowed to resume business, it took a few weeks for the store to recover the volume of business it had been transacting prior to the suspension. This is probably attributable to the fact that customers penalised Store 786 for not offering reliably continuous service and started going to other stores nearby (including two other PEP stores which are marked in the satellite photo in Figure 20). Conversations with M-PESA clients often confirm that service reliability is one of the most important attributes for an M-PESA outlet.

---

11 The large dip in July is related to the fact that government budgets are being reset at that time which slows government spending to a crawl and causes a drop off in business activity across Kenya.

12 This is based on the weekly number of transactions, and is computed as the standard deviation divided by the average over the six-month sample period. The coefficient of variation has been computed on weekly rather than daily transaction volumes in order to abstract from day-of-week effects which are particularly marked in rural markets as described in Figure 18.

13 Though the monthly cycle drives some of the variation in the business for all stores, it does not appear to drive the greater variation in rural store transactions vs. the other store types, which appears to be more a fundamental feature of the rural customer base.
Figure 20: Location of three urban shops around Kisumu central market

Figure 21 shows daily client transaction volumes for the Lake Market store, which is located in a prime location in the central business district of Kisumu. This can be contrasted against the average client transaction volumes for the other four city-center stores for all the other stores in Kisumu city center (see Figure 22). Lake Market does several multiples the volume of business of the other stores both due to its location but also due to its fastidious attention to customer service and investments in working capital (see Box 3).

Box 3: Lake Market store

Lake Market Store is located in the heart of the city center and just across from the district offices of the local power company Kenya Power and Light (KPL) which is good for business for a variety of reasons: customers often need to deposit to pay their bills using M-PESA; KPL sometimes pays employees with M-PESA; and KPL contractors often need to deposit to pay their sub-contractors, suppliers, and day laborers.

But in the M-PESA agent business, location isn’t everything. Mollie Achieng, the owner of Lake Market reports that they have invested nearly 300,000Ksh (US$4,000) in e-float working capital, twice the amount that PEP requires of its stores (and even still, they visit PEP 5 or more times per day.) Because of this investment, Mollie has a reputation for always being able to meet the cash and float needs of her customers. She has also invested in a phone for customers to use who don’t own one (some only have a SIM card). She has even gained her customers’ trust to the extent that when the M-PESA system is down for a few hours, or even just when the line in front of her store is long, busy customers will show their ID and drop envelopes of cash with the clerk for processing later when the system is back up. Thus, due to high levels of customer satisfaction and trust, Lake Market is able to continue operating — and continue earning commissions — even when the M-PESA system is down.

Due to the high volume of customers, Lake Market sometimes grosses over 100,000Ksh in a month (US$1300) of which they might take home 65,000Ksh (US$850) after taxes and PEP fees.¹⁴

¹⁴ Mollie reports that her main business expenses are one employee salary of 6,000Ksh (US$80) and rent of 10,000Ksh (US$130), leaving a substantial profit.
9. There are some remarkable differences in customer population across agents

Figure 23: Scatter plot of average number of client transactions and average transaction size across all stores

Figure 23 shows a scatter plot between the average number of client transactions per week and the average client transaction size for all the stores in our sample, two basic metrics that characterise the customer base that the stores see. The dispersion of stores shows clearly, with no definitive trends emerging. Urban and city stores tend to have more transactions, while district and city stores tend to have higher transaction sizes. But there is a wide variation in store activity within each of these categories driven by the specific circumstances of individual stores. Each M-PESA agent location has its own unique features and customer population.

Conclusions
From the nine observations listed above, we can draw three high-level conclusions:

- **Stores require quite intense daily liquidity management support.** They must rebalance on at least 60% of days (almost every day that they are open), and often several times per day. This represents a significant cost to stores, as a clerk must leave the store to carry or pick up cash. In the introduction, the section titled “Agent costs and risks in liquidity management” gives greater detail on the main costs to agents of providing liquidity.

- **Rural areas face greater difficulties.** Rural stores face special challenges as they have to deal with a triple whammy: (i) their commissions are typically lower because they handle fewer and smaller transactions; (ii) their transactions tend to be more lopsided towards cash out reflecting typical transfer patterns from urban to rural areas, so they have fewer opportunities for customer transactions naturally offsetting each other; and (iii) they tend to be located much further away from bank branches, which increases their travel and time costs each time they need to rebalance their liquidity. It would be appropriate to compensate these adverse effects with higher per-transaction commissions for rural stores. In the M-PESA context this happens naturally, insofar as withdrawal transactions incur a higher commission than equivalently-sized deposit transactions (total channel commissions of 10Ksh versus 15Ksh, respectively, for transactions of less than 2500Ksh). Since rural stores deal with predominantly cash out transactions, they benefit from this commission asymmetry.

- **There is evidence of market discipline between stores.** Customers face choice in their selection of M-PESA outlets. Figure 2 shows that most stores are within 100 meters of another M-PESA outlet. They seem to exercise this choice deliberately, favoring certain stores offering exceptional service with substantial trading volumes despite their being other stores nearby (see Box 3 on the Lake Market Store). On the other hand, customers seem to punish stores that are not able to offer consistent service levels. The example of Shop 786 (see Figure 20) which appears to have been disciplined by customers for shutting down for 4 days shows the importance of market discipline.
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